CA-PMM	
Project Name: IFMS/E-FIS	
OCIO Project #: <u>2660-416</u>	Otatus Damant
Department:	Status Report
Revision Date: September 8, 2009 for August 2009	

Progress Report -- Team Member to Project Manager

Current Task Summary

Accomplished this week Planned/Scheduled Completion in Next Two Weeks		Scheduled Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Issues?
Status Summary	Yes/No		Explanation	
Will all assigned tasks be accomplished by their due date?			-	
Are there any planned tasks that won't be completed? Are there problems which affect your ability to accomplish assigned				

C	Δ	_	P	٨	Λ	١	V
_	_	١ ١			,,		•

Project Name: IFMS/E-FIS	
OCIO Project #: 2660-416	Otatus Banas
Department:	Status Repor
Revision Date: September 8, 2009 for August 2009	

Status of Assigned Issues

Issue Number	Description	Due Date	Status

Status Report - Project Manager to Sponsor

Current Status Report

Questions	Yes/No	Cause	Impact	Action Required
Were recent milestones completed on schedule?	Yes	System Design		
2. Were any key milestones or deliverables rescheduled?	No			
3. Was work done that was not planned?	No			
4. Were there any changes to scope?	No			
5. Were tasks added that were not originally estimated?	No			
6. Were any tasks or milestones removed?	No			
7. Were any scheduled tasks not started?	No			
8. Are there any new major issues?	No			
9. Are there any staffing problems?	No			

	C	Α	_	P	١	Λ	Λ	/
--	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Project Name: IFMS/E-FIS	
OCIO Project #: 2660-416	Ctatus Danaut
Department:	Status Report
Revision Date: September 8, 2009 for August 2009	

Look Ahead View

Questions	Yes/No	Impact	Action Required
Will upcoming critical path milestones or deliverables be delayed?	No		
2. Do any key milestones or deliverables need to be rescheduled?	No		
3. Is there any unplanned work that needs to be done?	No		
4. Are there any expected or recommended changes to scope?	No		
5. Are there any tasks not originally estimated that will need to be added?	No		
6. Are there any tasks or milestones that should be removed from the plan?	No		
7. Are there any scheduled tasks whose start will likely be delayed?	No		
8. Are any major new issues foreseeable?	No		
Are any staffing problems anticipated?	No		

CA	-P	NΛ	М
	\ - F	IVI	IVI

Project Name: IFMS/E-FIS	
OCIO Project #: 2660-416	— Ctatus Danaut
Department:	Status Report
Revision Date: September 8, 2009 for August 2009	<u> </u>
Current Status and Accomplishments: Describe deliverables completed and milestones met during this re	porting period.
Project Milestones: List key milestones and their dates from the project schedule.	

Milestone	Target Date	Forecast Date	Status	Cause & Impact to Implementation Date	Date Completed
System Design	4/1/09	8/28/09	Complete		
System Development	8/13/09	2/26/10	behind schedule	Due to system requirements	
Testing	10/30/09	3/1/10	behind schedule	Due to system requirements	

Variances

Check the appropriate box for each project element listed below. Please describe the actions you plan to take for those items marked "Caution" or "Significant Variance".

	On Plan <5%	Caution 5-10%	Significant Variance >10%	Action Required
Schedule	x			
Milestones	x			
Deliverables	x			
Resources	x			
OneTime Cost	x			
Continuing Cost	Х			

	Λ.			N	л	N	1
L	ሥ	.=1	Г	I١	Λ	II	۷

Project Name: IFMS/E-FIS	
OCIO Project #: 2660-416	0.4
Department:	St
Revision Date: September 8, 2009 for August 2009	

Status Report

Status Reports – Sponsor to Steering Committee

Summary Milestones and Highlights

Project Milestones: List key milestones and their dates from the project schedule. Explain in issues section if a milestone's status is behind.

Milestone	Target Date	Status Date Com		Date Completed	
System Design	4/1/09	8/28/09	Complete		
System Development	8/13/09	2/26/10	behind schedule	Due to system requirements	
Testing	10/30/09	3/1/10	behind schedule	Due to system requirements	

Variances

Check the appropriate box for each project element listed below. Please describe the actions you plan to take for those items marked "Caution" or "Significant Variance".

* Priority of schedule, scope, budget, and quality from Final Ranking established in the Priority Analysis

	On Plan <5%	Caution 5-10%	Significant Variance >10%	Action Required
Schedule	Х			
Milestones	х			
Deliverables	x			
Resources	х			
One Time Cost	Х			
Continuing Cost	Х			

CA-PMM

Project Name:	IFMS/E-FIS
OCIO Project #:	2660-416
Department:	
Revision Date:	September 8, 2009 for August 2009

Status Report

Monitoring Vital Signs Scorecard

Vital Sign	Variance	Value	Your Score
	High Degree of Buy-In	0	
Customer Buy-In	Medium Degree of Buy-In	1	0
	Low Degree of Buy-In	2	
	Strong Viability	0	
2. Technology Viability	Medium Viability	1	0
	Weak Viability	2	
	<5%	0	
3. Status of the Critical Path (delay)	5% to 10%	1	0
	>10%	2	
4. Contain Data on Entire stad Cont	<5%	0	
Cost-to-Date vs. Estimated Cost- to-Date (higher)	5% to 10%	1	0
to-Date (fligher)	>10%	2	
5 High Dook shills High loop ast	0 to 3	0	
High-Probability, High-Impact Risks	4 to 6	1	1
NISKS	>6	2	
6. Unresolved Issues	On time	0	
(on time resolution)	Late with no impact	1	2
	Late impacting the critical path	2	
	Fully engaged	0	
7. Sponsorship Commitment	Partially engaged	1	0
	Inadequate enagement	2	
	Strong alignment	0	
8. Strategy Alignment	Partial alignment	1	0
	Weak or no alignment	2	
	Strong	0	
9. Value-to-Business	Medium	1	0
	Weak	2	

CA-PMM

Project Name:	IFMS/E-FIS
OCIO Project #:	2660-416
Department:	
Revision Date:	September 8, 2009 for August 2009

Status Report

10. Vendor Viability (provide	Strong	0	
rationale for the rating in the field	Medium	1	0
following the scorecard)	Weak	2	
14 Milestone Lit Date	>90% on time	0	
11. Milestone Hit Rate (rate of achievement as planned)	80-90% on time	1	1
(rate of achievement as planned)	<80% on time	2	
40 Deliverable Lit Dete	>90% on time	0	
12. Deliverable Hit Rate (rate of production as planned)	80-90% on time	1	1
(rate of production as planned)	<80% on time	2	
	>90% assigned and available	0	
13. Actual vs. Planned Resources	80-90% assigned and available	1	0
	<80% assigned and available	2	
4.4. Occasion a Hilliandian	<15%	0	
14. Overtime Utilization (% of effort that is overtime)	15-25%	1	0
(% or enort that is overtime)	>25%	2	
	Highly Effective	0	
15. Team Effectiveness	Moderately Effective	1	0
	Ineffective	2	
		Total	5

Green = 0 - 8 Yellow = 9 - 19Red = 20 +

Vendor Viability Rating Rationale